

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/826,652	OLSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ted M Wang	2634	

All Participants:

(1) Ted M Wang.

(2) Alan Pedersen-Giles.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 31 March 2005

Time: 7:50 a.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, 25, 26, and 28



Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The proposed Examiner amendment has been agreed by the applicant's representative, xxx. The instant application is in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


3/31/05


(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)